

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	EII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIDATATIONANO
ATTECATION NO.	LIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,156	01	7/30/2001	Francis Barany	19603/448 (CRF D-1593C)	4406
75	90	06/17/2002			
Michael L. Goldman			EXAMINER		
NIXON PEABODY LLP Clinton Square				HORLICK, KENNETH R	
P.O. Box 31051			•		
Rochester, NY 14603				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1637	
		*		DATE MAILED: 06/17/2002	. T

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No. Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Summan	09/918,156	BARANY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		KENNETH HORLICK	1637					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the corr spondenc addr ss Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)[1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 January 2002</u> .							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,29-34 and 51-54</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,29-34 and 51-54</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗆	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	Sharon Nichanton					
Applicati	on Papers		SHARON N.THORNTON PATENT ANALYST					
9) 🗆 -	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Tr. PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 7					

Application/Control Number: 09/918,156

Art Unit: 1637

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-10 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,027,889. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. Claims 29-34 and 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6 and 23-26, respectively, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,268,148. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 29-34, and 51-54 are free of the prior art, but are rejected for other reasons. No claims are allowable.

فياد سير المواد المامين المسمن

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R Horlick whose telephone number is 703-308-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Renneth R Horlick
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1637

June 10, 2002